EXHIBIT "C"





		Page :	1
1	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS		
2	PHILADELPHIA COUNTY - CIVIL DIVISION		
3	DOCKET NO. 02389		
4			
5	************		
6	GEOFFREY CROWTHER,		
7	Plaintiff,		
8	Vs.		
9	CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION		
10	and CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.,		
11	Defendants.		
12	*************		
13			
14	DEPOSITION OF ANDREW P. LEHMAN, M.D.		
15			
16	New England Orthopedic Surgeons		
17	300 Birnie Avenue		
18	Springfield, Massachusetts		
19	a ·		
20	December 15, 2008 4:20 p.m.		
21			
22			
23	Jonathan P. Lodi		
24	Court Reporter		
L			

	Page 4
1	ANDREW P. LEHMAN, M.D., Deponent, having first
2	been duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:
3	(Lehman Deposition Exhibits 1 - 5:
4	Marked for identification.)
5	EXAMINATION
6	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Good afternoon, Doctor.
7	My name is Stephen Hall, and I represent the
8	Railroad in a piece of litigation involving one of
9	your former patients, Geoffrey Crowther. And
10	before we began, we talked a little bit about the
11	exhibits, and I just want to make sure that we go
12	through them on the record, quickly.
13	Exhibit 1 is marked as the notice of
14	deposition, which has got the legalese, but asking
15	for I'm not sure if you saw this or not but
16	it was sent to Mr. Joyce, care of to you
17	care of Mr. Joyce, but it asked that you bring the
18	whole file relating to Mr. Crowther. And it's my
19	understanding that Exhibits 3 and 4 constitute the
20	records that relate to your treatment, is that
21	correct?
22	A. Yes, as far as I know. I didn't see
23	the
24	Q. Sure. And you're more than welcome to

	Page 5
1	take a look through those. You gave me a
2	A. Yes. These are the this is pretty
3	redundant. This has got this stuff has got
4	that in there, but
5	Q. Okay. There was some additional
6	material in
7	A. This is
8	Q. And Exhibit 4 is what you reviewed in
9	advance of the deposition?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And Exhibit 2 is your report that you
12	were asked to draft in this case and a copy of
13	your CV, is that correct?
14	A. Yes. And that is also in 4, as well;
15	My CV, not; but the report is.
16	Q. I just want to make sure. Is that a
17	true and accurate copy?
18	A. It appears to be.
19	Q. Okay. And you signed that?
20	A. Yes. This is an older CV. This is
21	not quite accurate.
22	Q. Okay. We can append it afterwards.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Is there anything as you're looking

	Page 8
1	certification?
2	A. Orthopedic surgery.
3	Q. And, I'm sorry, when did you complete
4	the boards?
5	A. Step I, I believe, was in 2003. Part
6	II was following twenty-six months of practice,
7	which was in August of 2006.
8	Q. And have you conducted any research?
9	A. We do have a database here, at New
LO	England Orthopedics, where we do clinical
1.1	research.
12	Q. Have you done any research in the area
13	of repetitive stress injuries?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Cumulative trauma disorders?
16	A. No.
L7	Q. Or anything involving railroad work?
L8	A. No.
19	Q. Have you published any findings with
20	regard to the cause of degenerative conditions in
21	the hip or knees?
22	A. No.
23	Q. And have you ever been deposed before,
24	sir?

	Page 1
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. And that was a result of
3	osteoarthritis in both of his knees, is that
4	right?
5	A. That is correct, yes.
6	Q. Okay. So it was the osteoarthritis
7	that caused him to have to have surgery, correct?
8	A. Well, it was the pain from the
9	osteoarthritis which caused him to have a surgery.
LO	We don't treat the x-rays. We treat the patient.
11	And the patient was having pain, secondary to his
L2	osteoarthritis, and that was refractory to other
L3	treatments. So the ultimate treatment for
L 4	arthritis of the knee or pain, secondary to
15	osteoarthritis of the knee, is a knee replacement.
L6	Q. And my understanding is that your
L7	opinion is that his old injuries or old surgeries
L 8	caused the osteoarthritis, is that correct?
L9	A. They contributed. I think the cause
20	of osteoarthritis is multi-factorial.
21	Q. Well, in your multi-factorial
22	analysis, you said it was more likely than not
23	that his work conditions may have aggravated his

symptoms, but that his osteoarthritis was caused

24

Page 18
by his original injuries to the back in the early
1970s, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so we can agree that his
osteoarthritis was caused, in your opinion, within
a reasonable degree of medical certainty, was
linked back to his original injuries in the early
'70s, right?
MR. JOYCE: Objection. You can
answer.
THE WITNESS: I mean, that's a
slippery slope you have there. I mean, I
think literature would show you that
following removal of the meniscus, which he
had, greater than ninety percent of the
individuals will develop osteoarthritis
after twenty years or so. Whether that
arthritis is symptomatic or not is a
separate issue.
Q. (By Mr. Hall) Okay. But the onset of
the disease is osteoarthritis, correct?

A. I think one of the major contributing factors to his osteoarthritis is his original injuries back in the early 1970s.

	Page 22
1	Q. You're not saying, within a reasonable
2	degree of medical certainty, that his job at the
3	Railroad caused or contributed to an actual
4	acceleration of the disease process, are you?
5	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
6	THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's
7	unclear whether that is the case or not. I
8	mean, there's no
9	Q. (By Mr. Hall) I'm sorry. That's
10	unclear?
11	A. It's unclear. I mean, I think, with a
12	reasonable degree of medical certainty, if
13	someone's lifting fifty pounds a day, I think that
14	would accelerate the amount of osteoarthritis you
15	have. I don't think it necessarily initiated the
16	osteoarthritis, but it certainly accelerated it.
17	Q. Well, do you have any objective
18	scientific evidence to support that his job duties
19	at the Railroad caused or contributed to
20	A. No.
21	Q an actual acceleration?
22	A. Absolutely not.
23	Q. Okay. So and you've never reviewed
24	his job duties, have you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 23

Α. Well, I think it did mention that, in Attorney Joyce's note, that he had -- "As a track laborer, track welder, track foreman, track inspector and welder foreman," that "his tasks included operating all types of equipment such as hand and power tools including torches, electric and gas welding equipment, grinders, chipping quns, track chisels, sledge hammers, claw bars, spiking mauls, needle guns, air impact hammers and saws. His tasks required him to stoop, bend, and kneel while welding and repairing railroad track. These tasks also exposed him to an extreme amount of repetitive strenuous motion, vibration force and awkward postures, heavy lifting and carrying along with excessive walking on uneven ballast." So that's the job description that I was privy to.

Q. Okay. So the job description that -did you rely on anything else in coming up with
the idea that his work may have played a role in
his problem, other than what you were provided by
Mr. Joyce?

- A. Common sense.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Did

	Page 24
1	you ask Mr. Crowther about his job?
2	A. When I last saw him, yes, probably in
3	April, April of 2007, I believe. Or was it
4	February?
5	Q. And what did he tell you he did then?
6	A. He said he worked on the railroad for
7	upwards of thirty years and did a lot of heavy
8	work for it. I didn't get the actual job
9	description at that time because I did not believe
10	he was filing this under Workers' Compensation.
11	Q. Did you take down any notes as to the
12	frequency, the amount of bending, stooping,
13	lifting, or his use of tools; did you try to look
14	at the frequency or anything, or any details about
15	that in your file at all?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Have you ever went out and looked at
18	the type of work that Mr. Crowther did?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Have you ever seen any videotape of
21	the type of work that Mr. Crowther did?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Do you have any familiarity with the
24	types of things he actually did, other than

	Page 25
1	A. Just from what I'm reading in this
2	report. That's it.
3	Q. And you would understand that that was
4	given to you by the plaintiff's lawyer, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And did you read that with any
7	skepticism?
8	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
9	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
10	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Okay. And I suppose
11	you didn't perform any scientific analysis on the
12	exposure he had at the Railroad, did you?
13	A. No.
14	Q. And not only didn't you quantify his
15	exposure, but you also didn't quantify any rest
16	period or down-time that he may have had on the
17	job as well, is that correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And did you talk to Mr. Crowther about
20	his past work history?
21	A. I'm sorry?
22	Q. His past work history.
23	A. No, I did not. I was more interested
24	in treating his knees, replacing his knees.

	Page 26
1	Q. And you're not an occupational
2	medicine doctor, are you?
3	A. No.
4	Q. And you know that there's a specialty
5	in occupational medicine?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. And those folks deal specifically with
8	work-related conditions, is that right?
9	A. As far as I know, yes.
10	Q. And fair to say that's not what you do
11	on a day-to-day basis?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And you're a treating doctor and a
14	surgeon, right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you don't routinely examine
17	workers to determine whether or not their work
18	caused or contributed to a problem they're having,
19	right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And you'd agree with me, sir, that it
22	would be important, if you were making a work-
23	related opinion, to have some sound basis of what
24	the actual work was, would you agree with that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 27 Α. That is correct. And would you agree you don't have a Q. firm, factual foundation of Mr. Crowther's actual job duties --Α. No. Q. -- and exposure? MR. JOYCE: Objection. THE WITNESS: I think it says pretty clearly what he does here, and it seems like it's pretty heavy work. I think I have a fair judgment of what he's doing. (By Mr. Hall) Okay. And would you agree that the description of any causality, with regard to any specific work duties, is not contained in your report? Α. Well, I mentioned that he's done a lot of heavy lifting and repetitive strenuous motion. And can you define that for me, in Q. terms of Mr. Crowther's actual work history? I'm sorry. I don't understand the Α. question. Well, you know, what vibratory stress Q.

CATUOGNO COURT REPORTING & STEN-TEL TRANSCRIPTION Springfield, MA Worcester, MA Boston, MA Lawrence, MA Providence, MA

or what heavy lifting or repetitive strenuous

motions did he have to do with regard to his

	Page 29
1	A. I have no reason to doubt it.
2	Q. Do you have any sound scientific data
3	upon which to rely upon?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Have you ever seen an ergonomic
6	assessment of the type of work that Mr. Crowther
7	did?
8	A. No.
9	Q. And would you agree with me that you
10	don't have a scientific basis for those
11	conclusions?
12	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
13	THE WITNESS: I think if
L4	Q. (By Mr. Hall) You were given those
15	conclusions by a lawyer, right?
16	A. Yes.
L7	Q. And that's not scientific, correct?
18	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
19	THE WITNESS: Aren't you a lawyer?
20	MR. HALL: Yes, I'm a lawyer, so
21	Q. (By Mr. Hall) But you'd agree with me
22	that you were given information by a lawyer?
23	A. I was given information. And I take
24	information, as given to me, as truth, so

	Page 42
1	in the development of his osteoarthritis.
2	THE WITNESS: No. I mentioned it in
3	two different places, I believe.
4	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Okay. You said that it
5	made him more symptomatic?
6	A. Yes. We treated his symptoms of knee
7	replacement.
8	Q. OKay. And
9	A. We didn't treat his x-rays. We
10	treated his symptoms.
11	Q. Okay. And I
12	A. If he didn't have symptoms, I wouldn't
13	have had to operate on him.
14	Q. But in terms of causing the disease
15	that produced the symptoms, you did not link work
16	to the cause
17	(Multiple speakers.)
18	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that
19	again, please?
20	MR. HALL: Sure.
21	Q. (By Mr. Hall) You said that his job
22	duties may have made him more symptomatic, right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And you did not say that his work

	Page 43
1	produced the underlying disease, is that correct?
2	I mean, that's what your report states.
3	A. That's correct, other than the ACL
4	injury
5	Q. In 1986.
6	A in 1986, which, from my
7	understanding, was also a work-related injury.
8	Q. Okay. That was a trauma that occurred
9	at work?
10	A. I believe that also caused the
11	arthritis in his left knee, in addition to the
12	cartilage procedures he had in the early '70s,
13	which are not work-related. I think he would have
14	developed arthritis, irrespective of his job, with
15	the aforementioned operations on his knees.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. However, I do not know if he would
18	have become symptomatic from his arthritis, had it
19	not been for his job. It's impossible to say.
20	Q. And so you can't say, within a
21	reasonable degree of medical certainty, either
22	way?
23	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
24	THE WITNESS: Either way what?

Page 51

improvement. I expect him to continue doing well
for a number of years.

- Q. And do you know of any valid studies that have been done, that associate Mr. Crowther's work duties with the development of degenerative joint disease in the knees?
 - A. Say that again, please.
- Q. Are you aware of any valid scientific studies that associate the type of work duties that Mr. Crowther did with the development of degenerative joint disease?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any valid studies that -- or any scientific literature -- that indicates that there are any specific, scientifically-accepted measures that are preventative for degenerative joint disease of the knees?
 - A. Could you please repeat that again?
- Q. Sure. Is there anything in the scientific literature that shows that a specific change can be made to a job process that would prevent the development of degenerative joint disease in a person like Mr. Crowther?

Ρ	a	q	e	5	2

- A. There is no such study that I'm aware of. But there may be instances -- no, there's no such study that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. And so you don't have an opinion that the Railroad could have done some specific change that would have resulted in him not having degenerative joint disease in his knee; would you agree?

MR. JOYCE: Objection. That's not Dr. Lehman's role in this case. He's not our liability expert. He's not our ergonomic expert.

THE WITNESS: I think job
modifications may have alleviated symptoms
related to his osteoarthritis, but not
necessarily changed his -- the fact that he
had osteoarthritis.

- Q. (By Mr. Hall) So you're unaware of any specific scientific literature that indicates a specific change to be made to his job that would prevent him to have symptoms, is that correct?
- A. I don't think a scientific study could ever be done in that regard.
 - Q. Are you aware of any specific changes

	Page 53
1	that could have been done to Mr. Crowther's job
2	that would have changed the outcome with regard to
3	his knees?
4	A. I think if he was more sedentary, he
5	would have less symptoms.
6	Q. Other than that, that's it?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. And it's impossible to prevent
9	degenerative joint disease in the knees, correct?
10	MR. JOYCE: Objection.
11	Q. (By Mr. Hall) Is there any way to
12	prevent it?
13	A. Just sitting on a couch for your
14	entire life, you'd never develop it.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. It's a matter of wear and tear. The
17	more wear, the more tear.
18	Q. And did you take into consideration
19	Mr. Crowther's avocational activities?
20	A. No. Do you mean activities outside of
21	work?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. No.
24	Q. And activities of daily living are all

	Page 56
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And other than what has been provided
3	by you, in terms of the letter from Mr. Joyce,
4	it's my understanding you've not reviewed any of
5	the case materials; depositions
6	A. Such as
7	Q Mr. Crowther's deposition
8	A. No, I have not.
9	Q or any of the discovery that's been
10	exchanged between the parties?
11	A. No, I did not.
12	Q. And you didn't review his railroad
13	medical file, did you?
14	A. No, I did not.
15	Q. Or any of the medical records from Dr.
16	Baustin or any of his other physicians?
17	A. No. I did request it.
L8	Q. And, I'm sorry, who did you make that
19	request to?
20	A. I think my secretary made the request
21	either to Mr. Crowther or to Attorney Joyce for
22	the records related to his ACL injury, as well as
23	his original sports injuries back in the early
ایر	10700

	Page 64
1	gathered from your opinion is that, in your
2	opinion, Geoff's job as a track laborer and
3	foreman aggravated and worsened his pre-existing
4	osteoarthritis, is that correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. You're not here telling us that his
7	job as a trackman caused the osteoarthritis,
8	correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. And in your opinion, is Geoff disabled
11	from working as a trackman, based upon what you
12	your understanding of what he does at the
13	Railroad?
14	A. If his description of his job is
15	accurate, then yes.
16	MR. JOYCE: Okay. That's all the
17	questions I have.
18	MR. HALL: You have the ability to
19	read the transcript and make any corrections
20	that might be necessary.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	MR. HALL: Or you can waive that
23	right and basically believe that the court
24	reporter has accurately taken down what